Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 112 (1976) C17—C19
© Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne — Printed in The Netherlands

## Preliminary communication

IMPROVED PRÉPARATION OF  $h^5$ -C<sub>5</sub> H<sub>5</sub> Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub> ( $h^1$ -ALKYL) COMPLEXES FROM  $[h^5$ -C<sub>5</sub> H<sub>5</sub> Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub> ( $h^2$ -ALKENE)]  $^+$  BF<sub>4</sub>

S.M. FLORIO and K.M. NICHOLAS\*

Department of Chemistry, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Mass. 02167 (U.S.A.) (Received January 22nd, 1976)

## Summary

Sodium cyanoborohydride has been found to be very effective for the conversion of  $[(h^5 - C_5 H_5) Fe(CO)_2 (h^2 - alkene)]^+ BF_4^-$  complexes to the corresponding  $h^1$ -alkyl derivatives.

The addition of nucleophiles to  $[h^5 - C_5 H_5 Fe(CO)_2 (h^2 - alkene)]^* BF_4^-$  complexes (I) has been employed widely to prepare the iron alkyls II (eq. 1) [1a-d]. These, in turn, are convertible into hydrocarbons, alkyl halides.

esters, etc. [2]. Although Green and coworkers reported that the reactions of I (alkene = ethylene, propene) with NaBH<sub>4</sub> produce the corresponding ethyl and isopropyl derivatives [1a], we and others [3] have found this reaction to be lacking in generality, often proceeding in poor yield with extensive formation of  $[h^5 \cdot C_5 H_5 \operatorname{Fe}(CO)_2]_2$ .

We wish to report that sodium cyanoborohydride (NaBH<sub>3</sub> CN) is much superior to NaBH<sub>4</sub> for the conversion  $I \rightarrow II$  (Nu = H). Treatment of nitro-

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondences to this author.

TABLE 1  $[h^5-C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2(h^2-alkene)]^+BF_4^-(I) + NaBH_3CN \rightarrow C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2(h^1-alkyl)$ (I)
(II)

Alkene in I	Compound	Yield of II (%)	
1-Heptene	Ia	81	
Allylbenzene	Ιb	71	
Propene	Ie	96	
Styrene	Iđ	56	
Acenaphthylene	Ie	81	
Cyclopentene	If	77	
Isobutene	Ig	95	
Cycloheptene	Ih	0	
Cyclooctene	Ii	0	
Norbornadiene	Ij	0	

methane solutions of the olefin salts at 0°C with NaBH<sub>3</sub> CN\* (1:1.25 mol ratio) produced the corresponding alkyl complexes, usually within a few minutes. The products were isolated in good yield (Table 1) upon evaporation of the solvent, extraction of the residue with petroleum ether, and evaporation of the extracts. Steric factors appear to play an important role as the complexes of cycloheptene, cyclooctene and norbornadiene reacted only after several days and failed to give appreciable quantities of alkyls.

If NaBD<sub>3</sub> CN [4] is employed, stereospecifically labeled  $\beta$ -deuteroalkyls may be conveniently synthesized. For example, the *trans*-deuteroacenaphthene complex IIe was prepared from Ie  $(J(H(1)-H(2)) < 2 \text{ Hz})^{**}$ . Such labeled complexes should prove useful in mechanistic studies.

Two isomeric alkyls (e.g. IIIa, IIIb) may be obtained from complexes of unsymmetrical alkenes (eq. 2). The propene salt with NaBH<sub>3</sub> CN, as with NaBH<sub>4</sub> [1a] gave exclusively (within detection by NMR) the isopropyl complex IIIb ( $R = CH_3$ ). The other monosubstituted olefin complexes (Ia, Ib, Id),

<sup>\*</sup>Obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other nucleophiles also give trans adducts with Ie [5].

$$Fp \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3} CH_3 \qquad Fp \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3} CH_3 \qquad (3)$$

$$(Iq)$$

however, yielded both isomers in varying ratios upon reaction with cyanoborohydride. Interestingly, reduction of  $\operatorname{Fp}(h^2$ -isobutene)  $\operatorname{BF_4}^-$  (Ig) produced only the isobutyl derivative IV resulting from attack at the most substituted carbon. The corresponding reaction (eq. 3) with NaBH<sub>4</sub> produces a 3:1 mixture of the t-butyl and isobutyl complexes [6].

We are investigating further the factors which determine the regioselectivity of this reaction.

## Acknowledgement

Helpful discussions with Prof. T. Whitesides (Wisconsin) on the use of sodium cyanoborohydride are acknowledged. We also thank the Research Corporation and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for generous support.

## References

- (a) M.L.H. Green and P.L.I. Nagy, J. Organometal. Chem., 1 (1963) 58; (b) L. Busetto, A. Palazzi,
   R. Ros and U. Belluco, J. Organometal. Chem., 25 (1970) 207; (c) A. Rosan, M. Rosenblum and
   J. Tancrede, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 3062; (d) K.M. Nicholas and A.M. Rosan, J. Organometal. Chem., 84 (1975) 351.
- 2 See P.L. Bock, D.J. Boschetto, J.R. Rasmussen, J.P. Demers and G.M. Whitesides, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 2814.
- 3 A.M. Rosan, Ph.D. Thesis, Brandeis University, 1975; G.W. Parshall and J.J. Mrowca, Advan. Organometal. Chem., 7 (1968) 157; W.P. Giering and M. Rosenblum, unpublished results.
- 4 R.F. Borch, M.D. Bernstein and H.D. Durst, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 2897.
- 5 K.M. Nicholas and A.M. Rosan, J. Organometal. Chem., 84 (1975) 351.
- 6 W.P. Giering and M. Rosenblum, J. Organometal. Chem., 25 (1970) C71.